

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/629,982	MARTINEZ ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
Yevgeny Valenrod	1621

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Yevgeny Valenrod.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bruce C Otrell.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2007

**Time:** 13:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection of claim 1*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Upon review of the rejection in the office action of 5/25/07 and further consideration of applicants amendment, Examiner has determined that the amendment to the claims was sufficient to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)